# EVALUATION OF LACTOFERRIN LOADED LIPOSOMES IN GASTRIC AND INTESTINAL SIMULATED MEDIA





# EVALUATION OF LACTOFERRIN LOADED LIPOSOMES IN GASTRIC AND INTESTINAL SIMULATED MEDIA

López-Machado, A. E<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Espina, M.<sup>1,2,3</sup>; García, M.L <sup>1,2,3</sup>\*; Sánchez-López, E<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Technology and Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
- <sup>2</sup> Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology (IN2UB), Universitat de Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain
- <sup>3</sup> Centre for Biomedical Research in Neurodegenerative Diseases Network (CIBERNED), Carlos III Health Institute, 28031 Madrid, Spain

#### \*correspondence to:

Elena Sánchez López, Ph.D. Lecturer Professor of the Department of Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Technology and Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Barcelona

# esanchezlopez@ub.edu

Maria Luisa García, Ph.D. Professor of the Department of Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Technology and Physical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, University of Barcelona marisagarcia@ub.edu

#### 1. Introduction

Freeze dried Lactoferrin liposomes is a lactoferrin loaded formulation of liposomes within the nanometric range. In this study, physicochemical parameters of liposomal formulations have been elucidated in order to confirm that gastric and intestinal media do not damage the formulations.

#### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Physicochemical characterization

For this test, a solution of the product *Liposome lyophilizate* with a concentration of 1mg/ml has been made.

This solution is transferred to a cuvette and the average size  $(Z_{av})$ , polydispersity index (PI) are analysed by dynamic light scattering on a Zeta Sizer (NanoZS, Malvern Instruments) (E Sánchez-López et al., 2018). Zeta potential (ZP) is analysed using electrophoresis laser-doppler in a Zeta Sizer (NanoZS, Malvern Instruments).

## 2.2. Preparation of *in vitro* intestinal drug release

Preparation of simulated gastric fluid (SGF) and simulated intestinal fluid (SIF) was carried out as reported elsewhere (Singh & Sarkar, 2011). In order to elucidate the effect of the enzymes, pepsin will be added to SGF and pancreatin will be added to SIF (concentrations of 0.032 mg/ml).

For this test the samples were incubated in a water bath at 37 °C. The samples were diluted in the corresponding media of SGF and SIF with and without enzymes (pepsin or pancreatin, respectively) and incubated for 2 hours under magnetic stirring.





At different timepoints (0, 15, 30, 60, 120 min) 1 ml of samples will be extracted and Z<sub>av</sub>, PI and ZP will be analysed (Liu, Ye, Liu, Liu, & Singh, 2013).

#### 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1 Physicochemical characterization

Physicochemical characterization of liposomal formulations has been carried out and can be observed in Table 1. Liposomes show and average size below 200 nm and a PI below 0.2. In addition, the formulation show a negative surface charge which may indicate a suitable stability of the formulation (Elena Sánchez-López et al., 2020).

Table 1. Physicochemical characterization of Liposoma liofilittzato

$\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{av}}(\mathbf{nm})$	PI	ZP (mV)
192.9± 4.5	$0.181 \pm 0.02$	$-40 \pm 0.5$

# 3.2 Physicochemical behaviour in in vitro intestinal and gastric fluids

Liposomal formulations were dispersed in SIF and SIG with and without enzymes and results can be observed in Table 2.

Table 2. Physicochemical characterization of Lactoferrin liposomes dispersed in SGF with Pepsin at different timepoints.

Release media	Time (min)	Z <sub>av</sub> (nm)	PI	ZP (mV)
Illeuia	0	$260.5 \pm 5.9$	$0.402 \pm 0.021$	$17.1 \pm 1.31$
SGF with	15	$311.3 \pm 11.6$	$0.326 \pm 0.066$	$11.2 \pm 0.45$
pepsin	30	$266 \pm 4.2$	$0.227 \pm 0.017$	$11 \pm 1.58$
1 1	60	$278.4 \pm 37.3$	$0.360 \pm 0.031$	$11.3 \pm 0.57$
	120	$236.8 \pm 7.5$	$0.397 \pm 0.025$	$10.9 \pm 1.54$
	0	$365.6 \pm 60.0$	$0.412 \pm 0.068$	$22.2 \pm 0.27$
SGF	15	$530.3 \pm 26.9$	$0.531 \pm 0.016$	$22.6 \pm 3.36$
	30	$395.3 \pm 96.2$	$0.519 \pm 0.175$	$16.6 \pm 0.49$
	60	$348.3 \pm 18.6$	$0.371 \pm 0.014$	$20.2 \pm 0.75$
	120	$570.8 \pm 26.5$	$0.508 \pm 0.081$	$21.6 \pm 1.31$
	0	$327.5 \pm 12.9$	$0.455 \pm 0.081$	$-16.8 \pm 0.55$
SIF with	15	$318.2 \pm 63.0$	$0.366 \pm 0.055$	$-17.7 \pm 1.16$
pancreatin	30	$227.1 \pm 2.8$	$0.401 \pm 0.051$	$-14.4 \pm 4.45$
	60	$255.6 \pm 14.4$	$0.352 \pm 0.053$	$-12.3 \pm 0.21$
	120	$262.0 \pm 22.4$	$0.358 \pm 0.021$	$-19.8 \pm 1.86$
	0	$964.0 \pm 130.1$	$0.785 \pm 0.120$	-18.9 ±1.21
SIF	15	$550.5 \pm 89.4$	$0.532 \pm 0.081$	$-15.8 \pm 0.89$
	30	$612.9 \pm 194.0$	$0.580 \pm 0.077$	$-20.9 \pm 1.63$
	60	$317.0 \pm 24.1$	$0.369 \pm 0.038$	$-17.8 \pm 1.40$
	120	$326.9 \pm 24.4$	$0.383 \pm 0.042$	$-17.3 \pm 1.31$





In all the cases, liposomes increase their size when dispersed in all the media as well as their PI. In addition, surface charge also seems to be shifted from negative to positive only in SGF. In SIF the surface charge is reduced to more neutral values but does not present a shift.

Regarding liposomal characteristics regarding time, in both cases the addition of enzymes to the media seems to stabilize the liposomes avoiding abrupt modifications. In the media prepared with enzymes, liposomal samples are below 400 nm.

#### 4. Conclusions

Liposomal contact with intestinal and gastric delivery medium prepared *in vitro*, increases their size and PI. However, the addition of enzymes, which reproduces more accurately the in vivo behaviour, favours the preservation of physicochemical characteristics over time.

#### 5. References

- Liu, W., Ye, A., Liu, W., Liu, C., & Singh, H. (2013). Stability during in vitro digestion of lactoferrin-loaded liposomes prepared from milk fat globule membrane-derived phospholipids. *Journal of Dairy Science*, *96*(4), 2061–2070. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-6072
- Sánchez-López, E, Ettcheto, M., Egea, M. A., Espina, M., Cano, A., Calpena, A. C., ... García, M. L. (2018). Memantine loaded PLGA PEGylated nanoparticles for Alzheimer's disease: in vitro and in vivo characterization. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, 16(32), 1–16.
- Sánchez-López, Elena, Esteruelas, G., Ortiz, A., Espina, M., Prat, J., Muñoz, M., ... Pujol, M. (2020). Dexibuprofen biodegradable nanoparticles: One step closer towards a better ocular interaction study. *Nanomaterials*, *10*(4), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.3390/nano10040720
- Singh, H., & Sarkar, A. (2011). Behaviour of protein-stabilised emulsions under various physiological conditions. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, *165*(1), 47–57. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2011.02.001